

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Deep-Sea Research II

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dsr2



Comparative study of picoplankton biomass and community structure in different provinces from subarctic to subtropical oceans

Yao Zhang, Nianzhi Jiao *, Ning Hong

State Key Laboratory for Marine Environmental Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 April 2008 Available online 7 May 2008

Keywords:
Picoplankton
Autotroph
Heterotroph
Aerobic anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria
(AAPB)
Subarctic ocean
Subtropical ocean

ABSTRACT

Picoplankton biomass and community structure in the subtropical and subarctic Pacific Oceans were investigated during November 2003, April-August 2005 and July-August 2005. The sampling covered the subarctic K2 station, the Western North Pacific subtropical gyre (WNPG1 and 2 stations) and the Eastern North Pacific subtropical area (ENP1, 2, 3 and 4 stations). Distinct differences in community structure and autotrophic and heterotrophic picoplankton biomass were observed among the above provinces. In subtropical areas, the picoplankton community comprised Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, picoeukaryotes and heterotrophic bacteria. While in the subarctic area (K2 station), Prochlorococcus were absent. Prochlorococcus were numerically dominant in the subtropical oceans, their abundance tended to decrease with increasing nutrient levels, which is the opposite of the other picoplankton populations. Although the aerobic anoxygenic phototrophic heterotrophic bacteria (AAPB), accounted for only a small proportion of total heterotrophic bacterial abundance, their potential contribution to carbon export may be important due to their larger cell size and higher cell turnover rates compared with other heterotrophic bacteria. Biomass contribution of the AAPB increased distinctly along the oligotrophic to relatively eutrophic gradient. Vertically, AAPB generally followed the phytoplankton except in the subtropical WNPG. Spatial variability of biomass in the autotrophic picoplankton was distinctly larger than that in the heterotrophic bacteria. Changes in the picoplankton community were more closely associated with latitude while nutrient availability was more important for differences in picoplankton biomass. The biomass of autotrophic picoplankton in the upper mixed layer, and also the depth attenuation, were higher in eutrophic relative to oligotrophic waters. Picoplankton seemed to be an important source of new organic carbon for higher trophic level organisms and for detritus production, especially in the oligotrophic subtropical gyre.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Picophytoplankton ($<2\,\mu m$) are composed of three groups of autotrophs: *Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus* and picoeukaryotes. These tiny primary producers contribute substantially to both total phytoplankton biomass and production in marine ecosystems, especially in oligotrophic waters where they account for up to 90% of the total photosynthetic biomass and carbon production (Campbell et al., 1994; Li et al., 1983). Despite a large number of ecological studies on picophytoplankton in various oceanic waters of the Pacific (Binder et al., 1996; Campbell and Vaulot, 1993; Liu et al., 2002a), Atlantic (Buck et al., 1996; Li, 1995; Olson et al., 1990), Mediterranean Sea (Bustillos-Guzman et al., 1995; Vaulot et al., 1990) and Arabian Sea (Campbell et al., 1998), few studies have focused on comparisons among different marine regimes.

Heterotrophic bacteria are typically considered solely as decomposers in marine ecosystems. The concept of the "microbial loop" endowed them with new roles in the biological pump (Azam et al., 1983). Recent studies have further revealed that some bacteria are capable of harvesting light for supplemental energy (Yurkov and Beatty, 1998a, b), such as aerobic anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria (AAPB). AAPB have been reported to play a unique role in carbon cycling in the ocean (Jiao et al., 2003; Karl, 2002; Kolber et al., 2001), and have drawn much attention from microbial oceanographers (Cottrell et al., 2006; Schwalbach and Fuhrman, 2005; Sieracki et al., 2006; Zhang and Jiao, 2007). Although the global distribution pattern of AAPB in the oceans has been brought to light (Jiao et al., 2007b), differences in abundance and vertical profiles of AAPB between high latitudes and low latitudes remain unclear. In the present study, four distinct provinces in the Pacific Ocean were investigated: The Western subarctic gyre (the VERTIGO station K2), the Western subtropical gyre (stations WNPG1 and 2), the Eastern subtropical Pacific (stations ENP1-3) and the Eastern subtropical Pacific off-shore

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 592 2187869. E-mail address: jiao@xmu.edu.cn (N. Jiao).

waters (station ENP4). We will address differences in the picoplankton biomass and community structure between sub-arctic and subtropical regimes, in an attempt to better understand the mechanisms of attenuation of vertical carbon flux at different latitudes and different trophic levels.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study areas and sampling

Station K2, located in the Western North Pacific (47°N 160°E) (Fig. 1), is a relatively eutrophic site in the NW Pacific subarctic gyre, with high macronutrient levels (nutrients concentrations are provided in Buesseler et al., 2008), high chlorophyll *a* concentration and significant seasonal variability in primary production and carbon export (Buesseler et al., 2007, 2008). Investigation at K2 was conducted during July 30–August 6, 2005 (deployment 1, D1) and August 10–17, 2005 (deployment 2, D2). D1 took place during the decline of the seasonal maximum in phytoplankton biomass, and D2 was just prior to a smaller autumn bloom (Buesseler et al., 2007, 2008). Four vertical profiles with 5 depths within the upper 50 m water column were sampled during D1 and D2.

Stations WNPG1-2 (Fig. 1) in contrast were in oligotrophic waters in the WNPG, and are characterized by warm waters with persistently low macronutrients and correspondingly low surface chlorophyll (Schlitzer, 2004; Shimada et al., 1993). Stations ENP1-4 (Fig. 1) were in the Eastern subtropical Pacific, which had a relatively high chlorophyll *a* concentration (Table 1) compared with WNPG (Binder et al., 1996; Landry et al., 1996; Schlitzer, 2004). ENP4, located in the open water off the coast, was at mesotrophic conditions among these subtropical stations (Schlitzer, 2004). Samples from stations WNPG1, WNPG2 and

ENP1–3 were collected from 7 to 10 depths within the upper 200 m during April–August 2005. Samples from stations ENP4 were collected from 10 depths within the upper 200 m water column, and four deployments were conducted during November 1–15, 2003.

2.2. Hydrographic parameters

A SeaBird CTD-General Oceanic Rosette assembly with Go-Flo bottles (SBE 9/11 plus, SeaBird Inc., USA) was employed to record temperature and salinity as well as to collect seawater samples. The mixed-layer depths were defined as the maximum density gradient depth by CTD measurement. The depth of the euphotic zone was defined as the 0.1% surface irradiance depth. Samples for chlorophyll a analysis were collected on $0.7\,\mu m$ pore-size GF/F filter paper (Whatman) and determined using a Turner-Designs-Model 10 fluorometer. Chlorophyll a data at K2 were provided by the VERTIGO Project (Dr. S.I. Saitoh and S. Okamoto, Hokkaido University, Japan).

2.3. Picoplankton abundance

For picoplankton, 5 ml of seawater per tube (five duplicate tubes for each sample) was preserved with glutaraldehyde (0.5% final concentration), quick frozen in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at $-80\,^{\circ}$ C until analysis.

Abundances of *Synechococcus*, *Prochlorococcus*, picoeukaryotes and heterotrophic bacteria were determined using flow cytometry (FCM) (Jiao et al., 2002; Marie et al., 1997) with an Epics Altra II (Beckman Coulter, USA) flow cytometer, equipped with a 306C-5 argon laser (Coherent Inc., USA). One micrometer fluorescence beads (PolySciences Inc., US) were added into the samples as an




Fig. 1. Location of the sampling stations (crosses) in the North Pacific Ocean. The background chlorophyll a remote image (Aqua-MODIS) of August 2005 was downloaded from the website (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/). Chlorophyll a scale shown on right in $mg m^{-3}$.

Table 1Physical and chemical conditions at the sampling sites^a

	K2	WNPG1	WNPG2	ENP1	ENP2	ENP3	ENP4
Surface water temperature (°C)	10.3 ± 0.5	27.7	28.2	27.5	28.2	28.1	28.5 ± 0.03
Surface water salinity (%)	32.9 ± 0.01	34.4	35	34.4	34.1	34.2	34.1
Depth of mixed layer (m)	25	100-125	100-125	75	75	50-75	30
Chlorophyll _{max} depth (m)	50	125	125	75	75	50-75	30
Euphotic zone (0.1% light) (m)	50	150	150	125	125	125	75
Surface chlorophyll $a \text{ (mg m}^{-3}\text{)}$	0.35 ± 0.05	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.13 ± 0.01
Chlorophyll a averaged over upper 200 m (mg m ⁻³)	0.27 ± 0.04	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.12 ± 0.005

^a K2: subarctic sea area; WNPG: the Western North Pacific subtropical gyre; ENP: the Eastern North subtropical Pacific.

FCM analysis reference, and the half-peak coefficients of variation were always controlled at lower than 1.0%. The coefficients of variation in the same samples were lower than 10%. The data we used were the means.

2.4. AAPB abundance

Subsamples for AAPB analysis were collected with 100 mL brown polypropylene bottles. Immediately after sampling, aliquots of 20 mL seawater were fixed for 15 min with paraformaldehyde (2% final concentration), and then stained with 4′6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (5 $\mu g\,mL^{-1}$, final concentration) for 30 min in the dark. Cells were filtered onto 0.2 μm pore-size black polycarbonate membranes (Whatman) for abundance determination. The subtropical samples were measured on board. The subarctic samples were stored at $-80\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ until analysis.

An epifluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss Axioskop) with a 50 W mercury lamp was used to image bacteria. It was equipped with an infrared-sensitive charge-coupled device camera (SPOT Diagnostic Instruments, Inc.), interfaced with a computer. Image-Pro Plus software (Media Cybernetics, Inc.) was used to detect and analyze cells in the images. AAPB abundances were determined by the time-series observation-based infrared epifluorescence microscopy (TIREM) protocol (Jiao et al., 2006). Cell biovolumes of AAPB and other heterotrophic bacteria were compared by image analysis using the DAPI images. For each sample, 30 AAPB cells and 30 heterotrophic bacterial cells were measured for size comparison.

2.5. Estimation of carbon biomass

Carbon biomass of the four picoplankton groups was estimated by conversion from cell abundance using the factors of 250, 53, 2100 and 20 fg C cell $^{-1}$ for Synechococcus, Prochlorococcus, picoeukaryotes and heterotrophic bacteria, respectively (Buck et al., 1996; Campbell et al., 1994; Lee and Fuhrman, 1987; Morel et al., 1993; Simek et al., 1999). The average volume of AAPB cells was 3.6 ± 0.8 times larger than that of heterotrophic bacteria. The conversion factor for AAPB was thus determined to be $72\,\mathrm{fg}\,\mathrm{C}$ cell $^{-1}$.

3. Results

3.1. Contrasting hydrographic conditions

K2 was characterized by low temperature, low salinity and high chlorophyll a concentration (Table 1). The chlorophyll maximum layer (DCM) occurred at 50 m, deeper than the mixed layer (25 m).

The subtropical stations in contrast were characterized by high temperature, high salinity and low chlorophyll a concentration (Table 1). The surface and depth-weighted chlorophyll a concentrations were around 0.03 and 0.08 mg m $^{-3}$ at the two stations in the Western North Pacific gyre, and 0.1–0.13 mg m $^{-3}$ at the Eastern North Pacific stations. Among stations ENP1–4, chlorophyll a concentration was a little higher at ENP4. DCM coincided roughly with the depth of the mixed layer (Table 1).

3.2. Contrasting picoplankton community structure

In the subarctic area (K2 station), the picoplankton community comprised *Synechococcus*, picoeukaryotes and heterotrophic bacteria, and *Prochlorococcus* were absent. Cell abundances ranged from 1.8×10^3 to 1.1×10^4 cells ml⁻¹ for picoeukaryotes and from

 1.7×10^3 to $5.8 \times 10^4 \, \text{cells ml}^{-1}$ for *Synechococcus*. Abundance of heterotrophic bacteria was about two orders of magnitude higher than that of picoeukaryotes. Abundance of AAPB was at the same level as *Synechococcus* (Fig. 2). Results from the two deployments (D1 and D2) showed differences in the maximum abundance depth of *Synechococcus* and picoeukaryotes over time (Fig. 2).

In the subtropical areas, the picoplankton community comprised Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, picoeukaryotes and heterotrophic bacteria. In contrast to K2, Prochlorococcus were extremely abundant with depth-weighted abundances of around 8×10^4 cells ml⁻¹ at WNPG stations 1 and 2, $4-5 \times 10^4$ cells ml⁻¹ at ENP1-3, and 3×10^4 cells ml⁻¹ at ENP4 (Table 2, Fig. 2). Among the subtropical stations, the Western North Pacific gyre was characterized by the distinct low abundances of Synechococcus $(10^3 \text{ cells ml}^{-1})$, picoeukaryotes $(10^2 \text{ cells ml}^{-1})$ and AAPB $(10^2 -$ 10³ cells ml⁻¹). Along the ENP stations, abundance of heterotrophic bacteria increased eastward with the highest abundance of $3.2+0.5\times10^5$ cells ml⁻¹ at ENP4 (Table 2; Fig. 2). Vertically, the maximum distribution depths of Prochlorococcus were always deeper than those of Synechococcus, picoeukaryotes and AAPB. The maximum abundance depth of Prochlorococcus increased with trophic conditions (Fig. 2). Such trends were less regular for other groups of picoautotrophs. The abundance of heterotrophic bacteria also decreased with depth, but remained high (10⁵ cells ml^{-1} at 150 m) even at the bottom of the euphotic zone. The vertical distributions of AAPB were basically similar to those of the phototrophic components rather than the heterotrophic bacteria, confined to the euphotic zone (Fig. 2). The maximum abundance depths of AAPB were consistent with those for chlorophyll a, except for stations WNPG1-2 (Table 1). At the WNPG stations, weak maxima of AAPB were present at shallower depths than those for chlorophyll a (Table 1; Fig. 2).

3.3. Contrasting picoplankton carbon biomass

Off-shore station ENP4 was characterized by a remarkably high biomass of Synechococcus and picoeukaryotes and a low biomass of Prochlorococcus. In contrast, stations WNPG1-2 in the subtropical gyre were characterized by an extremely high biomass of Prochlorococcus, but a very low biomass of Synechococcus and picoeukaryotes (Table 2; Fig. 3). Biomass of Synechococcus and picoeukaryotes at K2 in the subarctic area were also significantly higher than at the other subtropical stations (except for ENP4) (Table 2; Fig. 3). From the western subtropical pacific to the Eastern subtropical Pacific, the biomass of Prochlorococcus decreased observably, with the highest biomass of 5.34 mg C m⁻³ at WNPG2. There was an increasing trend in biomass of both Synechococcus and picoeukaryotes along trophic gradients from the western to the western subtropical Pacific (Table 2). Although Synechococcus were numerically more abundant than picoeukaryotes, the latter contributed more significantly to photosynthetic carbon biomass (Table 2; Fig. 3). Except for ENP4, the biomass of picoeukaryotes was 2.1-2.9 times higher than that of Synechococcus. AAPB biomass was relatively higher at K2 and lowest in the oligotrophic ocean. The biomass of heterotrophic bacteria was less variable than that of pico-sized autotrophs among all of the stations investigated (Table 2; Fig. 3). Higher bacterial biomass usually occurred where Synechococcus and picoeukaryotes were more abundant. Our observations were that autotrophic biomass and heterotrophic biomass of picoplankton were comparable in the subtropical Western North Pacific gyre and Eastern North Pacific, while autotrophic biomass was higher than heterotrophic biomass in the relatively eutrophic subarctic and the subtropical Eastern North Pacific off-shore waters (Table 2).




Fig. 2. Depth profiles of picoplankton abundance in the subarctic area (K2), the Western North Pacific subtropical gyre (WNPG1–2) and the Eastern North subtropical Pacific (ENP1–4). Error bars indicate standard deviation. Values of SD were calculated from the data of samples from four CTD casts each deployment at K2 and at ENP4. Note different X-axes are used. Pro.: *Prochlorococcus*; Syn.: *Synechococcus*; Euk.: picoeukaryotes; Total Bact.: total heterotrophic bacteria.

Table 2Cell abundance and carbon biomass of picoplankton at different locations

	K2 ^b	WNPG1	WNPG2	ENP1	ENP2	ENP3	ENP4 ^b
Cell abundance (cells ml ⁻¹) ^a							
Prochlorococcus	ND ^c	85,500	100,800	67,600	70,400	61,400	$60,300 \pm 13,500$
Synechococcus	$13,900 \pm 1400$	1300	1700	2100	3700	3200	$41,000 \pm 15,700$
Picoeukaryotes	5200 ± 600	570	730	830	1700	1400	6300 ± 1500
Heterotrophic bacteria	$382,800 \pm 38,500$	249,400	283,500	318,200	358,900	329,300	$528,000 \pm 98,800$
AAPB	$14,000 \pm 900$	1700	2100	2900	3200	3200	7900 ± 2900
Biomass (mg C m ⁻³) ^a							
Prochlorococcus	ND ^c	4.53	5.34	3.58	3.73	3.25	3.20 ± 0.71
Synechococcus	3.47 ± 0.36	0.34	0.44	0.52	0.93	0.80	10.25 ± 3.94
Picoeukaryotes	10.86 ± 1.20	1.19	1.53	1.74	3.64	3.01	13.14 ± 3.08
Heterotrophic bacteria	7.66 ± 0.77	4.99	5.67	6.36	7.18	6.59	10.56 ± 1.98
AAPB $(mg C m^{-3})$	1.01 ± 0.06	0.12	0.15	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.57 ± 0.21
Autotrophic picoplankton C/heterotrophic bacterial C	1:0.53	1:0.82	1:0.78	1:1.09	1:0.87	1:0.93	1:0.40

^a Data were depth-weighted averages in corresponding euphotic zone (see Table 1).

^b Values of standard deviation (SD) were calculated from two deployments (four CTD casts for each deployment) at K2, and from four deployments (one CTD cast each deployment) at ENP4.

^c ND = not detected.




Fig. 3. Depth profiles of picoplankton carbon biomass (*X*-axis: autotrophic-left and heterotrophic-right of zero) in the subarctic area (K2), the Western North Pacific subtropical gyre (WNPG1–2) and the Eastern North subtropical Pacific (ENP1–4). In order to obtain complete vertical profiles of picoplankton carbon biomass at K2, data from 50 to 200 m (shaded) were fitted by including the results of a near-K2 station at 50°N 163°E during our Bering Sea cruise in July 2003. Pro.: *Prochlorococcus*; Syn.: *Synechococcus*; Euk.: picoeukaryotes. non-AAPB: other heterotrophic bacteria excluding AAPB.

There were interesting differences in the vertical distributions of carbon biomass of autotrophic picoplankton and heterotrophic bacteria (Fig. 3). Carbon biomass of autotrophic picoplankton in the upper mixed layer was much higher than near the bottom of

the euphotic zone in relatively high nutrient and chlorophyll areas (stations ENP4 and K2). While in oligotrophic waters, variations in carbon biomass of the picoplankton between the upper and lower layers were much smaller (Fig. 3).

4. Discussion

Picoplankton in the Pacific Ocean has been studied over the past few decades (Binder et al., 1996; Campbell and Vaulot, 1993; Ishizaka et al., 1994; Jiao et al., 2002, 2005; Jochem, 1995; Landry et al., 1996; Liu et al., 2002a, b; Partensky et al., 1996; Shimada et al., 1993). The distinct differences between this study and previous ones are that we compared vertical profiles of carbon biomass between autotrophic and heterotrophic picoplankton across a larger-scale environmental gradient and that we included AAPB as a unique picoplankton component and showed the variation of picoplankton community structure in different marine provinces.

The abundance of picoplankton we observed in the subarctic sea area compared favorably to the range seen by Liu et al. (2002a,b). The abundances observed in the WNPG, ranging from 4.5×10^4 to 1.2×10^5 for *Prochlorococcus*, from 8.4×10^2 to 2.4×10^3 for *Synechococcus*, from 4.1×10^2 to 1.2×10^3 for picoeukaryotes and from 1.5×10^5 to 3.4×10^5 for total heterotrophic bacteria in the euphotic zone (upper 150 m), compared well with the range seen by Shimada et al. (1993). Also, our data in the Eastern North subtropical Pacific are as expected when compared with US Joint Global Ocean Flux Study data from the equatorial Pacific (Binder et al., 1996; Landry et al., 1996).

4.1. Picoplankton community composition and carbon biomass in different marine provinces

Picoplanktons are known to be the dominant components of the planktonic community in oceanic waters. However, our results showed great variability both in picoplankton biomass and community structure among different oceanic provinces.

In the subarctic Pacific, the picoplankton community was characterized by high abundances of Synechococcus and picoeukaryotes and the absence of *Prochlorococcus*. The picoeukaryotes are the dominant contributors to pico-sized autotrophic biomass in the North subarctic Pacific. The contribution of Synechococcus to photosynthetic biomass remained small compared with picoeukaryotes, though their abundance was higher. Prochlorococcus were not detected, although they have been reported to occur as far north as 60°N in the North Atlantic (Buck et al., 1996). Many studies reported that Prochlorococcus are absent from the North subarctic Pacific water of 45°N due to the lower water temperature and salinity than in the North Atlantic (Boyd and Harrison, 1999; Obayashi et al., 2001; Partensky et al., 1999a). In the subtropical Pacific, in contrast, the picoplankton community was characterized by abundant *Prochlorococcus* and less abundant Synechococcus and picoeukaryotes. Prochlorococcus were dominant in the total phytoplankton biomass in subtropical oceans. There were distinct decreasing trends in abundance and biomass of Prochlorococcus from the oligotrophic Western North Pacific gyre to the mesotrophic Eastern North Pacific (Schlitzer, 2004), which is the opposite of the other picoplankton populations. These variations between different latitudes and along trophic gradients at the same latitude are in agreement with the intrinsic nature of the species. Prochlorococcus are warm-water species associated with oligotrophic water, while Synechococcus, picoeukaryotes and AAPB prefer eutrophic conditions (Jiao et al., 2005, 2007a; Partensky et al., 1999b). High-abundance values of heterotrophic bacteria occurred in the low-latitude Eastern North Pacific, but the difference in abundance between high-latitude and low-latitude areas was relatively small, whereas highabundance values of AAPB occurred in the high-latitude subarctic sea, which was likely to be associated with the high chlorophyll a concentration there. The fact that AAPB are less influenced by low temperature compared with other bacteria may also be responsible to some extent for their distribution pattern across latitudes (Zhang and Jiao, 2007).

4.2. Habitat segregation of the picoplanktonic groups

Vertical distributions of different autotrophs are usually thought to be in agreement due to similar control of light on their growth, but a fine differentiation was seen here between different picoplankton groups. Due to being able to utilize dim light for photosynthesis (Jiao et al., 2002; Partensky et al., 1999b), the maximum distribution depth of *Prochlorococcus* was deepest among all the picoautotrophs. Picoeukaryotes ranked second, and Synechococcus came last. AAPB, being primarily heterotrophic, are still light associated, and their distribution was never below the euphotic zone, which distinguished the AAPB from other heterotrophic bacteria (Figs. 2 and 3). In general, AAPB followed the chlorophyll a concentration along the depth profile (vertical profiles of chlorophyll a not shown). One exception was the extremely oligotrophic WNPG, where the AAPB maximum occurred at shallower depths than chlorophyll a. In the WNPG, since the phytoplankton did not thrive in the euphotic zone, the AAPB only maintained minimum abundance throughout the euphotic water column, with a weak maximum occurring near the surface, probably benefiting from light (Jiao et al., 2007b). These observations suggest that AAPB are associated with phytoplankton. The organic matter supply from phytoplankton may be a key factor in the vertical distribution of AAPB (Zhang and Jiao, 2007).

Horizontal distributions, on the other hand, seemed to be better correlated with nutrients. Prochlorococcus are basically associated with oligotrophic conditions and can flourish in stratified nutrient-deplete waters (Campbell and Vaulot, 1993; Lindell and Post, 1995; Olson et al., 1990), while Synechococcus, picoeukaryotes and heterotrophic bacteria seem to be associated more with eutrophic conditions (Fuhrman, 1999; Jiao et al., 2002). Correlations analysis showed habitat segregation of the picoplanktonic groups induced by nutrients. Statistically significant positive correlations were observed between Synechococcus and picoeukaryotes (r = 0.98, p < 0.01), picoeukaryotes and bacteria (r = 0.97, p < 0.01), Synechococcus and bacteria (r = 0.96, p < 0.01), AAPB and picoeukaryotes (r = 0.83, p < 0.01), AAPB and Synechococcus (r = 0.82, p < 0.01), and between AAPB and total bacteria (r = 0.71, p < 0.05) (Fig. 4A–E and J). In contrast, *Prochlorococcus* showed inverse relationships with other picophytoplankton and even with heterotrophic bacteria (Fig. 4F–J). Such inverse relationships are also found in the Arabian Sea (Campbell et al., 1998) and China seas (Jiao et al., 2002). The inverse relationships between Prochlorococcus and other picoplankton populations (Fig. 4F-J) seem to be a general feature along nutrient gradients from oligotrophic to relatively eutrophic regimes (Schlitzer, 2004). In the case of horizontal distribution of AAPB, since the bacterial chlorophyll a-based phototrophic function in AAPB is a supplement to their normal organic carbon respiration (Beatty, 2002; Koblizek et al., 2003; Suyama et al., 2002), it is thus expected to make AAPB more competitive in oligotrophic environments (Beatty, 2002; Kolber et al., 2000, 2001). However, our large-scale observations support the distribution pattern of higher abundance of AAPB in eutrophic water than in oligotrophic water (Jiao et al., 2007b; Zhang and Jiao, 2007). The strong dependence of AAPB on dissolved organic carbon produced by phytoplankton may limit their competition in oligotrophic oceans (Jiao et al., 2007b; Zhang

Physical conditions are also a factor influencing the dynamics of picoplankton over large spatial scales. The mixed-layer depth




Fig. 4. Relationships between different groups of picoplankton. (A) Synechococcus vs. picoeukaryotes; (B) picoeukaryotes vs. bacteria; (C) Synechococcus vs. bacteria; (D) AAPB vs. picoeukaryotes; (E) AAPB vs. Synechococcus; (F) bacteria vs. Prochlorococcus; (G) picoeukaryotes vs. Prochlorococcus; (H) Synechococcus vs. Prochlorococcus; (I) AAPB vs. Prochlorococcus; (J) AAPB vs. bacteria. Abundance data are depth-weighted averages over the euphotic zone. Pro.: Prochlorococcus; Syn.: Synechococcus; Euk.: picoeukaryotes; Total Bact.: total heterotrophic bacteria.


and the strength of the pycnocline are key physical factors controlling vertical distribution of the picoplankton. A strong pycnocline can behave as a barrier to the vertical transport of dissolved chemicals such as nutrients. Our results showed that both the biomass of autotrophic picoplankton in the upper mixed-layer and the depth attenuation were higher at high latitudes (K2) than at low latitudes, except for the off-shore station ENP4, where the biomass and depth attenuation were highest (Fig. 3). Overall, latitude difference (mainly temperature difference) seemed to be more responsible for changes of picoplankton community structure, while nutrient availability was more important for picoplankton biomass differences.


4.3. Potential contribution of the picoplankton to carbon cycling in the upper ocean

Pico-sized phytoplankton biomass could be significant in carbon export from the surface ocean. Their potential export pathways include aggregation and incorporation into settling detritus, and indirect export through consumption of picoplankton aggregates by organisms at higher trophic levels (Barber, 2007; Buesseler et al., 2007; Richardson and Jackson, 2007). The main contributors to autotrophic picoplankton biomass were different at the sites investigated. Prochlorococcus contributed most carbon biomass to the total autotrophic picoplankton biomass in the oligotrophic subtropical Pacific, while picophytoplankton biomass was dominated by picoeukaryotes at K2 and was co-dominated by Synechococcus and picoeukaryotes at station ENP4 (Fig. 5B). Biomass ratios of autotrophic to heterotrophic picoplankton were 2–2.5 at K2 and ENP4 and \sim 1 at WNPG1–2 and ENP1-3, showing significant difference among the different provinces (p < 0.01). This revealed that within the picoplankton community, autotrophic picoplankton make a higher contribution to total picoplankton biomass in mesotrophic or relatively eutrophic areas, while heterotrophic bacteria become more important in oligotrophic oceans as they contribute more to carbon cycling through the "microbial loop" (Azam et al., 1983).

Although accounting for only a small proportion of the total heterotrophic bacteria in terms of abundance, the AAPB are an important functional member of the community and may play a unique role in carbon cycling in the upper ocean ecosystem. Their ability to supplement or substitute respiration with the lightdriven generation of ATP and reductants for carbon anabolism preserves the existing organic carbon (Kolber et al., 2001). In terms of carbon export, the cell volume of AAPB on an average is usually two-four times greater than the other heterotrophic bacteria (present measurements) (Sieracki et al., 2006; Yurkov and Beatty, 1998a). It is therefore speculated that AAPB cells are easily grazed (Sieracki et al., 2006) and can settle out of the euphotic zone (Barber, 2007; Richardson and Jackson, 2007) forming vertical flux. Furthermore, their rather rapid growth (Koblizek et al., 2005, 2007) fuels the flux of carbon export more than their abundance alone would predict. In the present study, the biomass contribution of AAPB was significantly higher at K2 than other stations (p < 0.01) (Fig. 5C). Contribution of the AAPB to the total bacterial carbon biomass increased significantly with increasing nutrient conditions, from the WNPG to the Eastern North Pacific, to the eastern Pacific off-shore water and to the subarctic sea (Schlitzer, 2004) (Fig. 5C). These results implied that the contribution of AAPB to the oceanic sink of carbon may be more important in high-latitude areas than in low-latitude areas.

In the traditional food web, large members of the phytoplankton like diatoms are believed to control carbon flux from the upper ocean, especially when they form blooms. However, picoplankton can also be an important source of organic carbon








Fig. 5. Contribution of different groups of picoplankton to carbon biomass in the subarctic area (K2), the Western North Pacific subtropical gyre (WNPG1–2) and the Eastern North subtropical Pacific (ENP1–4). (A), total heterotrophic bacteria (Total Bact.) vs. pico-sized phytoplankton (picophyto.); (B), picoeukaryotes (Euk.) vs. *Synechococcus* (Syn.) vs. *Prochlorococcus* (Pro.); (C), AAPB vs. non-AAPB (other heterotrophic bacteria excluding AAPB). Values are % of carbon biomass of picoplankton calculated by depth-weighted average over euphotic zone.

for large zooplankton such as copepods and for the particulate organic carbon pool that fuels the flux of particles sinking to the deep ocean (Barber, 2007; Buesseler et al., 2007; Richardson and Jackson, 2007). The contribution of primary producers to carbon export from the surface layer of the ocean is reported to be at rates proportional to those of their production (Barber, 2007;

Richardson and Jackson, 2007). As known from other studies in the VERTIGO Project, K2 is a site of high diatom biomass (Buesseler et al., 2008). Oligotrophic North Pacific regions in contrast have low nano- or micro-phytoplankton biomass, but high pico-phytoplankton biomass. Therefore, picoplankton could be an important source of new organic carbon for upper trophic level organisms and for detritus production, and thus the export flux from the surface layer to the deep sea, especially in the oligotrophic subtropical Pacific.

Acknowledgments

We thank the chief scientist of the VERTIGO cruise, Ken Buesseler, the chief scientists of the Global cruise, the captains and crews of the R.V. Roger Revelle and R.V. Ocean No. 1, and many other colleagues on board for their assistance in collecting the samples. We also thank Dr. S.I. Saitoh and S. Okamoto for providing the chlorophyll data at K2, and Dr. Rongcheng Lin for providing the chlorophyll data at subtropical stations. This work was supported by NSFC Projects: 40632013, 40576063; MOST Projects: 2005AA635240; NKBRD Project: 2007CB815904; CFKSTI Project: 704029. Professor John Hodgkiss is thanked for his help in polishing the English.

References

- Azam, F., Fenchel, T., Field, J.G., Gray, J.S., Meyer-Reil, L.A., Thingstad, T.F., 1983. The ecological role of water-column microbes in the sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 10, 257–263.
- Barber, R.T., 2007. Picoplankton do some heavy lifting. Science 315 (5813), 777–778.
- Beatty, J.T., 2002. On the natural selection and evolution of the aerobic phototrophic bacteria. Photosynthesis Research 73, 109–114.
- Binder, B.J., Chisholm, S.W., Olson, R.J., Frankel, S.L., Worden, A.Z., 1996. Dynamics of picoplankton, ultraphytoplankton and bacteria in the central equatorial Pacific. Deep-Sea Research 43, 907–931.
- Boyd, P.W., Harrison, P.J., 1999. Phytoplankton dynamics in the NE subarctic Pacific. Deep-Sea Research II 46 (11-12), 2405–2432.
- Buck, K.R., Chavez, F.P., Campbell, L., 1996. Basin-wide distribution of living carbon components and the inverted trophic pyramid of the central gyre of the North Atlantic Ocean, summer 1993. Aquatic Microbial Ecology 10, 283–298.
- Buesseler, K.O., Lamborg, C.H., Boyd, P.W., Lam, P.J., Trull, T.W., Bidigare, R.R., Bishop, J.K.B., Casciotti, K.L., Dehairs, F., Elskens, M., Honda, M., Karl, D.M., Siegel, D.A., Silver, M.W., Steinberg, D.K., Valdes, J., Mooy, B.V., Wilson, S., 2007. Revisiting carbon flux through the ocean's twlight zone. Science 316, 567–570.
- Buesseler, K.O., Trull, T.W., Steinberg, D.K., Silver, M.W., Siegel, D.A., Saitoh, S.I., Lamborg, C.H., Lam, P.J., Karl, D.M., Jiao, N.Z., Honda, M.C., Elskens, M., Dehairs, F., Brown, S.L., Boyd, P.W., Bishop, J.K.B., Bidigare, R.R., 2008. VERTIGO (vertical transport in the global ocean): a study of particle sources and flux attenuation in the North Pacific. Deep-Sea Research II this issue [doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2008.04.024].
- Bustillos-Guzman, J., Claustre, H., Marty, J.C., 1995. Specific phytoplankton signatures and their relationship to hydrographic conditions in the coastal northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 124, 247–258.
- Campbell, L., Vaulot, D., 1993. Photosynthetic picoplankton community structure in the subtropical North Pacific Ocean near Hawaii (station ALOHA). Deep-Sea Research I 40, 2043–2060.
- Campbell, L., Nolla, H.A., Vaulot, D., 1994. The importance of *Prochlorococcus* to community structure in the central North Pacific Ocean. Limnology and Oceanography 39 (4), 954–961.
- Campbell, L., Landry, M.R., Constantinou, J., Nolla, H.A., Brown, S.L., Liu, H., Caron, D.A., 1998. Response of microbial community structure to environmental forcing in the Arabian Sea. Deep-Sea Research II 45 (10-11), 2301–2325.
- Cottrell, M.T., Mannino, A., Kirchman, D.L., 2006. Aerobic anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria in the Mid-Atlantic Bight and the North Pacific Gyre. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 72 (1), 557–564.
- Fuhrman, J.A., 1999. Marine viruses and their biogeochemical and ecological effects. Nature 399 (6736), 541–548.
- Ishizaka, J., Kiyosawa, H., Ishida, K., Ishikawa, K., Takahashi, M., 1994. Meridional distribution and carbon biomass of autotrophic picoplankton in the Central North Pacific during late Northern summer 1990. Deep-Sea Research I 41, 1745-1766.

- Jiao, N.Z., Yang, Y.H., Koshikawa, H., Watanabe, M., 2002. Influence of hydrographic conditions on picoplankton distribution in the East China Sea. Aquatic Microbial Ecology 30 (1), 37–48.
- Jiao, N.Z., Sieracki, M.E., Zhang, Y., Du, H.L., 2003. Aerobic anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria and their roles in marine ecosystems. Chinese Science Bulletin 48, 1064–1068.
- Jiao, N.Z., Yang, Y.H., Hong, N., Ma, Y., Harada, S., Koshikawa, H., Watanabe, M., 2005. Dynamics of autotrophic picoplankton and heterotrophic bacteria in the East China Sea. Continental Shelf Research 25 (10), 1265–1279.
- Jiao, N.Z., Zhang, Y., Chen, Y., 2006. Time series observation based infrared epifluorescence microscopic (TIREM) approach for accurate enumeration of bacteriochlorophyll-containing microbes in marine environments. Journal of Microbiological Methods 65 (3), 442–452.
- Jiao, N.Z., Zhang, Y., Zeng, Y.H., Gardner, W.D., Mishonov, A.V., Richardson, M.J., Hong, N., Pan, D.L., Yan, X.H., Jo, Y.H., Chen, C.T.A., Wang, P.X., Chen, Y.Y., Hong, H.S., Bai, Y., Chen, X.H., Huang, B.Q., Deng, H., Shi, Y., Yang, D.C., 2007a. Early impacts of the three gorges dam on the East China Sea. Water Research 41. 1287–1293.
- Jiao, N.Z., Zhang, Y., Zeng, Y.H., Hong, N., Liu, R.L., Chen, F., Wang, P.X., 2007b. Distinct distribution pattern of abundance and diversity of aerobic anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria in the sea. Environmental Microbiology 9 (12), 3091–3099.
- Jochem, F.J., 1995. Phototrophic picoplankton structure in three different pelagic regimes in the Arabian Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 117, 307–314.
- Karl, D.M., 2002. Microbiological oceanography-hidden in a sea of microbes. Nature 415 (6872), 590–591.
- Koblizek, M., Beja, O., Bidigare, R.R., Christensen, S., Benitez-Nelson, B., Vetriani, C., Kolber, M.K., Falkowski, P.G., Kolber, Z.S., 2003. Isolation and characterization of *Erythrobacter* sp. strains from the upper ocean. Archives of Microbiology 180, 327–338.
- Koblizek, M., Ston-Egiert, J., Sagan, S., Kolber, Z.S., 2005. Diel changes in bacteriochlorophyll *a* concentration suggest rapid bacterioplankton cycling in the Baltic Sea. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 51, 353–361.
- Koblizek, M., Masin, M., Ras, J., Poulton, A.J., Prasil, O., 2007. Rapid growth rates of aerobic anoxygenic phototrophs in the ocean. Environmental Microbiology 9 (10), 2401–2406.
- Kolber, Z.S., VanDover, C.L., R.A., N., Falkowski, P.G., 2000. Bacterial photosynthesis in surface waters of the open ocean. Nature 407, 178–179.
- Kolber, Z.S., Plumley, F.G., Lang, A.S., Beatty, J.T., Blankenship, R.E., VanDover, C.L., Vetriani, C., Koblizek, M., Rathgeber, C., Falkowski, P.G., 2001. Contribution of aerobic photoheterotrophic bacteria to the carbon cycle in the ocean. Science 292. 2492–2495.
- Landry, M.R., Kirshtein, J., Constantinou, J., 1996. Abundances and distribution of picoplankton populations in the central equatorial Pacific from 12°N to 12°S, 140°W. Deep-Sea Research II 43, 871–890.
- Lee, S., Fuhrman, J.A., 1987. Relationships between biovolume and biomass of naturally derived marine bacterioplankton. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 53 (6), 1298–1303.
- Li, W.K.W., 1995. Composition of ultraphytoplankton in the central North Atlantic. Marine Ecology Progress Series 122, 1–8.
- Li, W.K.W., Subba Rao, D.V., Harrison, W.G., Smith, J.C., Cullen, J.J., Irwin, B., Platt, T., 1983. Autotrophic picoplankton in the tropical ocean. Science 219, 292–295.
- Lindell, D., Post, A.F., 1995. Ultraphytoplankton succession is triggered by deep winter mixing in the Gulf of Aqaba (Eilat), Red Sea. Limnology and Oceanography 40 (6), 1130–1141.
- Liu, H., Imai, K., Suzuki, K., Nojiri, Y., Tsurushima, N., Saino, T., 2002a. Seasonal variability of picophytoplankton and bacteria in the western subarctic Pacific Ocean at station KNOT. Deep-Sea Research II 49, 5409–5420.
- Liu, H., Suzuki, K., Minami, C., Saino, T., Watanabe, M., 2002b. Picoplankton community structure in the subarctic Pacific Ocean and the Bering Sea during summer 1999. Marine Ecology Progress Series 237, 1–14.
- Marie, D., Partensky, F., Jacquet, S., Vaulot, D., 1997. Enumeration and cell cycle analysis of natural populations of marine picoplankton by flow cytometry using the nucleic acid stain SYBR Green I. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 63 (1), 186–193.
- Morel, A., Ahn, Y.H., Partensky, F., Vaulot, D., Claustre, H., 1993. *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*: a comparative study of their optical properties in relation to their size and pigmentation. Journal of Marine Research 51, 617–649.
- Obayashi, Y., Tanoue, E., Suzuki, K., Handa, N., Nojiri, Y., Wong, C.S., 2001. Spatial and temporal variabilities of phytoplankton community structure in the northern North Pacific as determined by phytoplankton pigments. Deep-Sea Research I 48 (2), 439–469.
- Olson, R.J., Chisholm, S.W., Zettler, E.R., Altabet, M.A., Dusenberry, J.A., 1990. Spatial and temporal distributions of prochlorophyte picoplankton in the North Atlantic Ocean. Deep- Sea Research 37 (6A), 1033–1051.
- Partensky, F., Blanchot, J., Lantoine, F., Neveux, J., Marie, D., 1996. Vertical structure of picophytoplankton at different trophic sites of the tropical northeastern Atlantic Ocean. Deep- Sea Research I 43, 1191–1213.
- Partensky, F., Blanchot, J., Vaulot, D., 1999a. Differential distribution and ecology of Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus in oceanic waters: a review. In: Charphy, L., Larkum, A.W.D. (Eds.), Marine Cyanobacteria. Musee Oceanographique, Monaco, pp. 457–475.
- Partensky, F., Hess, W.R., Vaulot, D., 1999b. Prochlorococcus, a marine photosynthetic prokaryote of global significance. Microbiological Molecular Biology Reviews 63 (1), 106–127.
- Richardson, T.L., Jackson, G.A., 2007. Small phytoplankton and carbon export from the surface ocean. Science 315, 838–840.

- Schlitzer, R., 2004. Export production in the equatorial and North Pacific derived from dissolved oxygen, nutrient and carbon data. Journal of Oceanography 60, 53–62.
- Schwalbach, M.S., Fuhrman, J.A., 2005. Wide-ranging abundances of aerobic anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria in the world ocean revealed by epifluorescence microscopy and quantitative PCR. Limnology and Oceanography 50 (2), 620–626
- Shimada, A., Hasegawa, T., Umeda, I., Kodaya, N., Maruyama, T., 1993. Spatial mesoscale patterns of West Pacific picophytoplankton as analyzed by flow cytometry: their contribution to subsurface chlorophyll maxima. Marine Biology 115, 209–215.
- Sieracki, M.E., Gilg, I.C., Their, E.C., Poulton, N.J., Goericke, R., 2006. Distribution of planktonic aerobic anoxygenic photoheterotrohic bacteria in the northwest Atlantic. Limnology and Oceanography 51 (1), 38–46.
- Simek, K., Kojecka, P., Nedoma, J., Hartman, P., Vrba, J., Dolan, J.R., 1999. Shifts in bacterial community composition associated with different microzooplankton

- size fractions in a eutrophic reservoir. Limnology and Oceanography 44, 1634-1644
- Suyama, T., Shigematsu, T., Suzuki, T., Tokiwa, Y., Kanagawa, T., Nagashima, K.V.P., Hanada, S., 2002. Photosynthetic apparatus in *Roseateles depolymerans* 61A is transcriptionally induced by carbon limitation. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68, 1665–1673.
- Vaulot, D., Partensky, F., Neveux, J., Mantoura, R.F.C., Llewellyn, C.A., 1990. Winter presence of prochlorophytes in surface waters of the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. Limnology and Oceanography 35, 1156–1164.
- Yurkov, V., Beatty, J.T., 1998a. Aerobic anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria. Microbiological Molecular Biology Reviews 62 (3), 695–724.
- Yurkov, V., Beatty, J.T., 1998b. Isolation of aerobic anoxygenic photosynthetic bacteria from black smoker plume waters of the Juan de Fuca Ridge in the Pacific Ocean. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64, 337–341.
- Zhang, Y., Jiao, N.Z., 2007. Dynamics of aerobic anoxygenic phototrophic bacteria in the East China Sea. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 61, 459–469.